

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRAIGSLIST, INC.,

No. 3:12-cv-03816-CRB

Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
SEAL**

v.

3TAPS, INC., et al.,


Defendants.

Plaintiff craigslist, Inc. (“craigslist”) moved to seal certain portions of craigslist’s Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”) on the grounds that the SAC referenced information extracted from material designated as “Protected” by Defendants as defined by the parties’ Stipulated Protective Order. Admin. Mot. to Seal (dkt. 130).

Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) governs such situations. Under that rule, Defendants had four days to file with the Court a declaration and narrowly tailored sealing order supporting its confidentiality designation. Defendants filed no such declaration. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s Administrative Motion to File Under Seal is DENIED under Rule 79-5(e).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 27, 2014


CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE